

Received via Email 23/5/23



FURTHER SUBMISSION IN SUPPORT OF, OR IN OPPOSITION TO, A SUBMISSION ON THE PROPOSED TE TAI O POUTINI PLAN

Clause 8 of Schedule 1 Resource Management Act 1991

To: Te Tai o Poutini Plan Team
Address: 388 Main South Road, Paroa, Greymouth 7805
Email: info@tpp.nz

Further Submitter Details

Name of further submitter: Robin Alistair Nicholl
Contact person (if different from above):
Postal address: 1922 Kumara Junction Highway, RD2 Hokitika
Email address for service: westsleuth@xtra.co.nz
Phone number: 021 54 5531

The TPPP team will serve all formal documents by email. Where there is no email address provided, the documents will be posted to the postal address stated above.

I am: (please tick relevant box)

- a) A person representing a relevant aspect of the public interest.
b) A person who has an interest in the proposal that is greater than the interest of the general public.
c) The local authority for the relevant area.

Please state the grounds as to why you come within the category selected above:
Freehold land owner of WS5C/547, parcel I.D. 1160692 situated at Kumara Junction

Our property is affected by the Coastal Environment and High Natural Character proposed zoning. The general classification places it in the General Rural zone.

TPPP Hearing (please tick relevant box)

Do you wish to be heard in support of your further submission? Yes No [X]
If others make a similar submission would you consider presenting a joint case with them at a hearing? Yes [X] No []

Submission

The submission points, support or opposition, reasons and decisions sought are set out in the attached document.
Note: Any attachments to your submission should only be supporting information, not the submission.

Signature of the person making further submission or the person authorised to sign on behalf of the person making further submission.

Date 23/05/2023

Name of Further Submitter Robin Alistair Nicholl

My specific further submission(s) are as follows:
 (Please only insert one further submission point per line. Add further sheets as required)

I support/oppose the submission of: (State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)	The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are: (State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)	The reasons for my support / opposition are: (State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)	I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed: (Give precise details of the decision you want TPPP to make)
I support all submissions seeking the removal of the High Natural Characteristics classification from all properties with a significantly modified environment	Sub No. / Point No. 33 Support / Oppose Provision Schedule 7	This very significant zoning proposal has been made by a remote assessor without the benefit of a site visit and inspection nor the courtesy to the land owner of a discussion as to the history and modifications that have been made to the property intergenerationally. The property is very highly modified. The assessment is incongruous with the actual environment.	I seek the removal of the High Natural Characteristics designation at 1922 Kumara Junction Highway
I support all submissions requesting a review and reassessment of the Coastal Environment overlays.	Sub No. / Point No. Support / Oppose Provision Coastal Environment overlays	There is nothing environmentally 'Coastal' about our property. At its closest it is half a kilometer from the sea and this land is grass paddocks. At 750 metres high, modified scrubland and bush takes over on the south side of the property. This ground cover is no different from similar areas at Kumara for instance.	I seek the removal of the 'Coastal Environment' designation from our property. Again the lack of an on-site assessment and consultation has tainted the designation.

I support/oppose the submission of: (State the submission no., name and address of the person making the original submission)	The particular part of the submission I support/oppose are: (State the Submission No./Point no. of the original submission you support or oppose, together with any relevant provisions of the proposal)	The reasons for my support / opposition are: (State the nature of your further submission, giving reasons)	I seek that the whole (or part) of the submission be allowed / disallowed: (Give precise details of the decision you want the Council to make)						
	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="1117 520 1170 653">Sub No. / Point No.</th> <th data-bbox="1117 653 1170 940">Support / Oppose</th> <th data-bbox="1117 940 1170 1394">Provision</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Sub No. / Point No.	Support / Oppose	Provision					(For electronic form, add a new line below, press 'Go')
Sub No. / Point No.	Support / Oppose	Provision							

Note to Further Submitter

This further submission on the Proposed District Plan must be received by 5pm on 26 May 2023.

To get your further submission to us, either:

Complete it online at: www.tppnz.info@tdppnz

Post to: PO Box 66, Greymouth, 7840

Attention: TPPP Team

Deliver to: 388 Main South Road, Pa-oa, Greymouth 7805

A copy of your further submission MUST be served on the original submitter within 5 working days after it is served on the local authority. Contact details for all submitters can be found on our Submitters page at: www.tppnz.

Please note all information provided in your submission, including your personal information, will be made publicly available.

Your submission (or part of it) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of it):

- it is frivolous or vexatious;
- it discloses no reasonable or relevant case;
- it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further;
- it contains offensive language;
- it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.